FILE COPY

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
NOV 4 1959
JAMES R. BROWNING, Clerk

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1959.

No. 120.

JONES MOTOR CO., INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION,

Respondent,

and

HIGHWAY EXPRESS LINES, INC. and
MODERN TRANSFER COMPANY, INC.,

Intervening Respondents.

PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND CLARIFICATION.

HAROLD S. SHERTZ,
PAUL F. BARNES,
SHERTZ, BARNES & SHERTZ,
811 Lewis Tower Building,
225 South Fifteenth Street,
Philadelphia 2, Pennsylvania,
Attorneys for Intervening Respondents.

International, 711 So. 50th St., Phila. 43, Pa.

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States.

OCTOBER TERM, 1959.

No. 120.

JONES MOTOR CO., INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION,

Respondent,

AND

HIGHWAY EXPRESS LINES, INC. AND MODERN TRANSFER COMPANY, INC.,

Intervening Respondents.

PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION AND CLARIFICATION.

1. On October 12, 1959, at No. 120 October Term, 1959, your Honorable Court, in a Per Curiam memorandum order, granted the petition for writ of certiorari in the above-styled cause and, simultaneously, reversed the judgment of the court below, merely citing *Service Storage and Transfer Company, Inc. v. Virginia*, 359 U. S. 171 (1959).

2. Highway Express Lines, Inc. and Modern Transfer Company, Inc. were at all stages parties to the proceeding.

3. The Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission has timely filed a Petition for Reconsideration and Clarification of the Per Curiam opinion entered on October 12, 1959.

4. Said petition sets forth urgent and immediate reasons why the opinion should be clarified.

5. Highway Express Lines, Inc. and Modern Transfer Company, Inc. request reconsideration and clarification of the Per Curiam opinion of October 12, 1959 for the same reasons and on the same basis as set forth in the petition of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission.

WHEREFORE, Highway Express Lines, Inc. and Modern Transfer Company, Inc. respectfully pray your Honorable Court file a clarifying opinion or order so all parties will know the basis of the decision for the purpose of any further action that may be required in the premises.

Respectfully submitted,

HAROLD S. SHERTZ,
PAUL F. BARNES,
SHERTZ, BARNES & SHERTZ,
Attorneys for Intervening Respondents.